

## Executive Functions and Operating Communication in Aphasia

Antonis T\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy University of Western Macedonia, Greece

### \*Corresponding author:

Antonis Theofilidis,  
Department of Occupational Therapy University  
of Western Macedonia, Greece,  
Tel: 0030 6978 800 810,  
E-mail: antonis109@yahoo.gr,  
info@neuropsychology.gr

Received: 11 Mar 2021

Accepted: 06 Apr 2021

Published: 12 Apr 2021

### Copyright:

©2021 Antonis T et al., This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

### Citation:

Antonis T et.al. Executive Functions and Operating Communication in Aphasia. J Clin Med Img 2021; V5(8): 1-2.

## Executive Functions and Operating Communication in Aphasia

Executive function among people with aphasia has also been linked to their level of functional communication, affecting the macro level of speech organization rather than the level of word/phrase structure [1,2]. A similar relationship between executive functions and speech skills has also been shown for people with traumatic brain injury in whom storytelling skills have been linked to shift skills [3]. In particular, the poor score in the WCST was associated with a low score in the measurements of the structure of a story, but not with a poor performance in the measurements of the production of sentences and coherence. The contribution of executive functions to functional communication between people with aphasia probably represents the inability to make connections between the extent of their language deficit and the degree of successful speech (eg any possible executive function can positively affect good shaping a discussion even if there are difficulties in finding words) [4, 5].

Studies on aphasia that explore the relationship between executive function and speech ability [6] often define functional communication as the ability to communicate a message effectively in a natural environment, using ways that could include grammatical structures or other modes of communication, such as appropriate gestures [7,8]. Reduced executive shift has been found to limit the extent to which people with aphasia can use alternative non-linguistic means of communication in conversation, because these people are trained to use alternative gestures and pictorial symbols, do not focus on these details, even in cases failed verbal communication [9]. Such executive problems - measured by poor

performance on tests of attention shift, working memory, and concept formation - have been found to limit a person with aphasia's ability to focus, resulting in patterns of language obsession and difficulty in choosing a speech strategy, especially in multi-stakeholder situations [10].

The success of a transaction with an aphasic interlocutor depends largely on six executive functions: monitoring, self-regulation, planning, monitoring of incoming information, switching and regulating cognitive resources [1]. In their absence a person with aphasia may fail to consider the questions addressed to him or her, to ignore requests for clarification, to confirm common knowledge, to define a strategy for dialogue (e.g. type questions yes / no), monitor what is being said or what needs to be said, suspend an inappropriate answer and form / understand new concepts / ideas [6]. In a study of twenty people with aphasia, Ramsberger and Rende (2002) found that 8 out of 9 executive measures were significantly correlated with the variables of successful speech.

Comparable findings were also reported by Fridriksson (2006), who noted significant correlations between sequence execution measures, inhibition, programming, cognitive flexibility, working memory, attention, perception, and motor skills. The tests used included executive tasks, such as the WCST and the Color Trails Test (D'Elia, Satz, Uchiyama & White, 1996) and the four American Speech-Language Hearing Association evaluation indicators Functional Assessment of Communication Skills for Adults [8]. The results showed that the participants with the highest number of prompts and errors in the executive trials, were also those with lower independence in speech and quality.

Importantly, executive skills have been reported to have a reme-

dial effect on speech skills [1]. Using neuropsychological methods to control attention, verbal and non-verbal working memory, memory, design and creative meaning, as well as speech analysis methods, Frankel and colleagues found, for example, that the retained Intervention control and scheduling are accompanied by good concentration and monitoring of the content of the conversation and that the memory for the above information goes hand in hand with planned speech strategies, reception activation and topic management [10].

Therefore, we find that the influence of executive functions on the functional communication of people with aphasia is crucial. Multiple researches pose the presence of executive functions as a remarkable factor both for a successful and efficient communication between people with and without aphasia and for the positive effect they have on speech skills.

## References

1. Ramsberger G. Achieving conversational success in aphasia by focusing on non-linguistic cognitive skills: A potentially promising new approach. *Aphasiology*. 2005; 19: 1066-73.
2. Ramsberger, G. Functional perspective for assessment and rehabilitation of persons with severe aphasia. *Seminars in Speech & Language*. 1994; 15: 1–16.
3. Coelho CA, Liles BZ, Duffy RJ. Impairments of discourse abilities and executive functions in traumatically brain-injured adults. *Brain Injury*. 1995; 9: 471-7.
4. Irwin WH, Wertz RT, Avent, JR. Relationships among language impairment, functional communication, and pragmatic performance in aphasia. *Aphasiology*. 2002; 16: 823–35.
5. Ramsberger G and Rende, B. Measuring transactional success in the conversation of people with aphasia. *Aphasiology*. 2002; 16: 337-53.
6. Fridriksson J, Nettles C, Davis M, Morrow L, Montgomery A. Functional communication and executive function in aphasia. *Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics*. 2006; 20: 401-10.
7. Holland A. CADL communicative abilities in daily living: a test of functional communication for aphasic patients. Baltimore, MD: University Park Press. Hurlburt, R. T. (1990). *Sampling normal and schizophrenic inner experience*. New York: Plenum Press. 1980.
8. Frattali CM, Thompson CM, Holland AL, Wohl CB, Ferketic MM. The FACS of life: ASHA facs-a functional outcome measure for adults. *American Speech-Language-Hearing Association*. 1995; 37: 40-6.
9. Purdy M, Duffy R, Coelho C. An investigation of the communicative use of trained symbols in aphasic adults following multimodality training. In P. Lemme (Ed.), *Clinical aphasiology*. 1994; 22: 345-56.
10. Frankel T, Penn C, Ormond-Brown D. Executive dysfunction as an explanatory basis for conversation symptoms of aphasia: A pilot study. *Aphasiology*. 2007; 21: 814-28.